

Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165
 Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027
BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
 44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Tel.: (415) 293-6800
 mmao@bsfllp.com
 brichardson@bsfllp.com

Jesse Panuccio (admitted *pro hac vice*)
BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
 1401 New York Ave, NW
 Washington, DC 20005
 Tel.: (202) 237-2727
 Fax: (202) 237-6131
 jpanuccio@bsfllp.com

Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 Tel: (310) 789-3100
 Fax: (310) 789-3150
 abonn@susmangodfrey.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, JULIEANNA
 MUNIZ, ELIZA CAMBAY, SAL
 CATALDO, EMIR GOENAGA, JULIAN
 SANTIAGO, HAROLD NYANJOM,
 KELLIE NYANJOM, and SUSAN LYNN
 HARVEY, individually and on behalf of all
 others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

William Christopher Carmody
 (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Shawn J. Rabin (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Steven M. Shepard (admitted *pro hac vice*)
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
 1301 Avenue of the Americas,
 32nd Floor
 New York, NY 10019
 Tel.: (212) 336-8330
 bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
 srabin@susmangodfrey.com
 sshepard@susmangodfrey.com

John A. Yanchunis (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Ryan J. McGee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Michael F. Ram (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Ra O. Amen (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MORGAN & MORGAN
 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
 Tampa, FL 33602
 Tel.: (813) 223-5505
 jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
 rmcgee@forthepeople.com
 mram@forthepeople.com
 ramen@forthepeople.com

Case No.: 3:20-cv-04688

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
 GOOGLE'S MOTION TO DISMISS
 PORTIONS OF THIRD AMENDED
 COMPLAINT**

The Honorable Richard Seeborg
 Courtroom 3 – 17th Floor
 Date: October 28, 2021
 Time: 1:30 p.m.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Before the Court is Google LLC's ("Google") Motion to dismiss portions of the Third Amended Complaint (the "Motion"). Having considered the parties' papers filed in support of and in opposition to the Motion, argument by counsel, and all other matters properly considered by this Court, the Court finds there is good cause to **DENY** the Motion.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that for the reasons stated in the Opposition to Google's Motion, the Motion is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

Honorable Richard Seeborg
Chief United States District Judge